UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/528,706	11/08/2005	Patrick Beguery	266484US6XPCT	2039
		Patrick Beguery 04/30/2010 ELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.	EXAMINER	
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ESSEX, STEPHAN J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1795		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/30/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/528,706	BEGUERY ET AL.		
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit		
	STEPHAN ESSEX	1795		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		l l		
This application is abandoned in view of:		·		
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of M period of the proper reply to the Office of M period of the proper reply to the Office of M period of the proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of M period for reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on 	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on), which is after the expiration of the		
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed ar Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o	nendment which places the		
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		mpt at a proper reply, to the non-		
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.				
 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Transmission dated		
Allowance (PTOL-85).	a of the signature			
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ 1		CER 1 18/d) is \$		
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no		CFK 1.10(u), 15 p		
(o) In the issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has he	or been received.			
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). Proposed corrected drawings were received on 				
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.				
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.				
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire interest, or all of		
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity under 37 CFR		
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for seeking court review		
7. X The reason(s) below:				
Jim Bailes contacted Oblon, Spivak, McClelland & N	Neustadt and verified that no resp	onse has been submitted.		
/Dah-Wei D. Yuan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795				
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to		